



**CEDAR HILL**  
PREPARATORY SCHOOL

# CHP Assessment Policy: PYP and MYP

## 1. Purpose

This Assessment Policy outlines Cedar Hill Preparatory School's philosophy, principles, and procedures guiding assessment practices in alignment with the International Baccalaureate (IB) Primary Years Program (PYP) and Middle Years Program (MYP), as well as TerraNova standardized assessments.

Assessment tasks are planned during the unit design process to align with learning objectives, inquiry, and conceptual understanding in both the PYP and MYP

The policy ensures clarity, consistency, transparency, and alignment with IB requirements while supporting meaningful and equitable learning experiences for all students.

## 2. Assessment Philosophy

Cedar Hill Preparatory School believes assessment is an integral part of teaching and learning. Assessment empowers students to become reflective, independent learners who analyze their progress, set goals, and apply feedback to improve performance.

### Assessment at CHP:

- Supports conceptual understanding and skill development
- Develops Approaches to Learning (ATL) skills, including thinking, communication, social, research, and self-management skills
- Values the most accurate demonstration of learning
- Prioritizes mastery over simple averaging of grades
- Aligns with IB's criterion-related assessment model

- Supports the development of the IB Learner Profile, encouraging students to become reflective, principled, and knowledgeable learners

Assessment is meaningful only when it informs instruction and advances student learning.

### **3. Guiding Principles**

#### **Assessment at CHP is:**

- Criterion-related rather than norm-referenced
- Aligned to clearly defined learning objectives
- Transparent to students and families
- Ongoing and varied
- Designed to measure knowledge, understanding, and application
- Inclusive and responsive to diverse learning needs
- Assessment is ongoing, varied, and integral to teaching and learning

Students must demonstrate the ability to recall, analyze, adapt, and apply knowledge and skills in new contexts

Assessment tasks incorporate IB command terms (such as analyze, evaluate, and describe) to clarify expectations and develop discipline-specific thinking skills.

### **4. Assessment Practices**

#### **Formative Assessment**

Formative assessment is ongoing and used to inform instruction. It includes:

- Class discussions
- Draft writing, revision, and feedback
- Exit tickets
- Practice tasks
- Peer and self-assessment
- Observations and conferencing
- Lab reports
- Journal writing

Formative assessments provide feedback and guide instructional decisions. Not all formative work is graded. Assessment practices include appropriate differentiation and accommodations to support diverse learners in alignment with the school's Inclusion Policy.

## **Summative Assessment**

Summative assessments evaluate student learning at the end of a unit or course segment. They are aligned with IB criteria and assess the full scope of learning objectives.

Examples include:

- Essays and analytical writing
- Projects and presentations
- Exams
- Research investigations
- Performance tasks

Summative assessments evaluate conceptual understanding and transfer of learning.

## **5. Grading and Marking**

CHP follows IB's criterion-related assessment model in PYP and MYP.

- Students are assessed against established IB criteria. Each criterion is assessed using published IB descriptors on a scale of 0–8.
- Students receive clear explanations of assessment criteria and task-specific clarifications before completing summative assessments.
- Performance is **not compared to peers**.
- Feedback is descriptive and focused on growth.
- Teachers prioritize the **most accurate and recent demonstration of student learning** rather than averaging all scores over a reporting period.
- TerraNova results are reported separately and do not replace IB criterion-based evaluations.
- Student achievement is communicated to families through **criterion-level feedback, mastery codes, and teacher comments**.

## **6. Recording and Reporting**

Student progress is recorded using school-approved systems. Reporting includes:

- Report cards
- Teacher comments on student progress
- Student-led Parent-Teacher Conferences
- Opportunities for student reflection
- Self-assessment, goal-setting, and peer feedback to develop ownership of learning
- Assessment data is analyzed to inform instruction, differentiate learning, and plan targeted next steps for individual students

In the PYP, reporting also includes ongoing feedback, portfolio development, and communication of progress in relation to **transdisciplinary learning**.

## **7. Homework**

Homework, when assigned, is designed to reinforce learning, prepare students for upcoming lessons, and develop self-management skills. It aligns with instructional goals, is developmentally appropriate, supports independent skill-building, and is not assigned solely for grading.

## **8. Formal IB Assessment vs. School-Based Assessment**

The school distinguishes between:

- Formal IB assessments required by the IB
- School-developed formative and summative assessments that prepare students for IB expectations

Teachers develop formative processes to build skills progressively toward IB standards. Teachers design assessment tasks that promote academic integrity and clearly

communicate expectations regarding collaboration, independent work, citation of sources, and responsible use of digital tools.

All assessment practices are guided by the school's Academic Integrity Policy to ensure authenticity of student work.

## **9. Emphasis on Mastery and End-of-Course Understanding**

Assessment emphasizes holistic understanding at the end of a course or unit.

Students must demonstrate the ability to:

- Recall knowledge
- Analyze and synthesize ideas
- Apply learning in unfamiliar contexts
- Transfer skills across disciplines

Final judgments reflect overall achievement rather than isolated task performance.

## **10. Roles and Responsibilities**

### **Administration**

- Ensure alignment with IB requirements
- Support professional development
- Monitor consistency
- Lead annual policy review

### **Teachers**

- Design assessments aligned with IB criteria
- Provide timely feedback
- Maintain accurate records
- Participate in standardization and collaboration

### **Students**

- Engage actively in assessment
- Reflect on feedback
- Demonstrate academic integrity

## **Families**

- Support learning at home
- Maintain communication with teachers

## **11. Training and Onboarding**

New teachers participate in a structured onboarding process, introducing them to CHP's assessment policy, IB requirements, MYP criteria, and TerraNova alignment. They receive mentorship and support from experienced faculty and subject-specific cohorts. Ongoing professional development ensures consistency and alignment with IB best practices.

## **12. Alignment with Other School Policies**

This Assessment Policy aligns with:

- Academic Integrity Policy
- Language Policy
- Inclusion Policy

These policies work together to ensure equitable and transparent assessment practices.

## **13. Review and Evaluation**

The Assessment Policy will be:

- This policy is reviewed annually by administration and faculty to ensure continued alignment with IB standards and school priorities. Revisions are made as necessary.
- Communicated through staff meetings, newsletters, and the school website
- The Assessment Policy approved 3/17/26

# APPENDICES

## Appendix A – Overview of IB Criterion-Related Assessment

### MYP Years 0-3 (Grades 5–8):

- Each subject group includes four assessment criteria.
- Each criterion is assessed using published IB descriptors on a scale of 0–8.
- Teachers collect evidence across multiple assessments for each criterion and use task-specific clarifications to ensure fairness, transparency, and sufficient opportunities for student growth throughout the year.

**Note:** CHP does not report final IB MYP grades. Student progress is communicated through **criterion-level feedback, mastery codes, and teacher comments.**

### PYP (Years PreK–4):

- Assessment focuses on transdisciplinary learning and inquiry skills.
- Teachers use portfolios, reflections, and the PYP Exhibition to demonstrate conceptual understanding, skill development, and growth over time.
- Descriptive feedback guides student learning and reflection, supporting mastery and continuous improvement.

### Key Principles for Both Programs:

- Assessment emphasizes learning growth and skill development rather than numerical averages.
- Teachers provide clear, descriptive feedback aligned with IB criteria to guide improvement.
- Evidence from assessments is used to inform instruction, identify next steps, and support student ownership of learning.

## **Appendix B**

### **TerraNova Alignment Statement**

TerraNova is a standardized assessment used to provide norm-referenced data regarding student performance in core subject areas.

#### **At CHP:**

- TerraNova data informs instructional planning.
- TerraNova results are analyzed alongside IB criterion data.
- TerraNova scores do not replace IB assessments.

The school values both internal criterion-based assessment and external standardized data.

## **Appendix C –Standardization Practices**

To ensure consistency:

- Teachers collaborate within subject cohorts.
- Common rubrics are used.
- Student work is reviewed for calibration.
- Leadership may conduct internal standardization reviews.
- Teachers participate in internal standardization and standardization sessions to collaboratively review student work, calibrate grading, and ensure consistent interpretation and fair application of MYP assessment criteria across classrooms.

## **Appendix D– Academic Integrity and Assessment**

Students are expected to:

- Submit original work
- Properly cite sources
- Avoid plagiarism
- Demonstrate honesty during assessments

Violations are addressed according to the Academic Integrity Policy.

## **Appendix E– Homework Guidelines by Division**

Homework is purposeful, developmentally appropriate, and aligned with instructional goals. It reinforces learning, prepares students for upcoming lessons, and supports the development of self-management and independent learning skills, rather than being assigned solely for grading.

## **Appendix F– Reporting Timeline**

The school publishes an annual calendar outlining:

- Report card distribution dates
- Conference dates
- TerraNova testing windows

## **Appendix G– Policy Review Cycle**

The Assessment Policy is:

- Reviewed annually
- Revised as needed
- Shared with faculty
- Available to families

## **Appendix H– MYP & TerraNova Parent Guide**

Appendix H provides a visual summary of MYP criteria and their alignment with TerraNova skills. It includes:

- Subject-by-subject skill alignment
- Parent conversation prompts
- Practical strategies for supporting learning at home

This infographic serves as a companion to the policy and may be shared during conferences, orientation, and school communications.

## Appendix I – Grading Codes, Skills, and Honor Roll

### Purpose:

To provide clarity for families, students, and staff on how **IB criterion-related achievement** translates into school reporting, numeric grades, subgrades/skills, and Honor Roll qualifications.

### CHP Grading and Mastery Codes

Descriptor	Percentage Range	Meaning
Excellent (M)	96%–100%+	Mastery – student fully meets learning objectives
Very Good (NM)	90%–95.9%	Nearing Mastery – strong achievement in most criteria
Good (P)	80%–89.9%	Proficient – satisfactory achievement of objectives
Satisfactory (NP)	70%–79.9%	Nearing Proficient – moderate achievement, some support needed
Limited (PR)	60%–69.9%	Progressing – limited achievement, significant support needed
Very Limited (PR)	50.5%–59.9%	Progressing – minimal achievement, consistent support required
Minimal (SO)	Below 50%	Starting Out – student not yet meeting objectives

### Connection to MYP:

MYP criterion levels (0–8) guide teacher feedback and progress monitoring. CHP converts these levels into **mastery codes and percentage ranges** to provide families with a **clear understanding of achievement** while **not assigning final IB grades**.

*Example:* A student with a **“Very Good (NM)”** code demonstrates strong achievement, roughly **90–95.9%** of the learning targets.

Percentage conversions are used solely for local reporting purposes and do not replace the use of IB criterion-related assessment or best-fit judgment based on published descriptors.

## **Subgrades / Skills**

<b>Code</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Percentage Range</b>
E	Excelling	95%–100%+
A	Achieving	85%–94.99%
I	Improving	70%–84.99%
NY	Not Yet	Below 70%

These codes provide additional feedback on specific skills and areas of growth within courses.















## **Honor Roll Qualifications (Middle School)**

**Courses Included:** Language Arts, Math, Science, Social Studies, World Language

<b>Honor Level</b>	<b>Percentage Range</b>
Honor Roll	89.9%–95.8%
High Honor Roll	95.9%–100%

# 2025-2026 Academic Achievement Correlation Map

A translation guide correlating the International Baccalaureate (IB) 1-7 scale with the school's internal Mastery Codes and percentage-based grading to ensure transparency in student reporting.

IB System (Final Grade)	CHP Grading System (Mastery Code & Range)	Terranova Next Assessment
 <b>7 (Excellent)</b>	<b>M (Mastery)</b> 96%-100%+	 Top Tier Alignment
 <b>6 (Very Good)</b>	<b>NM (Nearing Mastery)</b> 90%-95.9%	
 <b>5 (Good)</b>	<b>P (Proficient)</b> 80%-89.9%	
 <b>4 (Satisfactory)</b>	<b>NP (Nearing Proficient)</b> 70%-79.9%	
 <b>3 (Limited)</b>	<b>PR (Progressing)</b> 60%-59.9%	
 <b>2 (Very Limited)</b>		
 <b>1 (Minimal)</b>	<b>SO (Starting Out)</b> Below 50%	

## Performance Tiers & Recognition

### Honor Roll Qualifications



**High Honor Roll:** 95.9%-100%  
**Honor Roll:** 89.9%-95.8%

### Subgrades & Skills & Core Subjects



Skills are rated as **Excelling (95%+)**,  
**Achieving (85%+)**, **Improving (70%+)**, or **Not Yet (<70%)**.



**Core Honor Roll Subjects:**  
Language Arts, Math, Science,  
Social Studies, World Language

# Cedar Hill Preparatory School

## MYP & TerraNova Parent Guide – Quick Reference

Connecting MYP Criteria with TerraNova Skills to Help Your Child Succeed!



### Language & Literature

MYP Criterion	TerraNova Skill	Parent Tips
<b>A: Analyzing</b>	Reading Comprehension	Ask questions, discuss characters
<b>B: Organizing</b>	Grammar & Punctuation	Review writing together
<b>C: Producing Text</b>	Writing Process	Journal & read aloud
<b>D: Using Language</b>	Vocabulary & Usage	Play word games



### Mathematics

<b>A: Knowing &amp; Understanding</b>	Computation Skills	Practice daily math
<b>B: Inquiring &amp; Designing</b>	Algebra & Problem Solving	Find patterns in nature
<b>C: Processing &amp; Evaluating</b>	Data Interpretation	Explain solutions verbally
<b>D: Applying Math</b>	Applied Math	Use math in daily life



### Science

<b>A: Knowing &amp; Understanding</b>	Scientific Knowledge	Relate to real life
<b>B: Inquiring &amp; Designing</b>	Scientific Inquiry	Experiment at home
<b>C: Processing &amp; Evaluating</b>	Data Analysis	Look at charts together
<b>D: Reflecting on Impact</b>	Applications of Science	Discuss science topics



### Individuals & Societies

<b>A: Knowing &amp; Understanding</b>	History & Geography	Explore maps & timelines
<b>B: Investigating</b>	Research Skills	Find answers together
<b>C: Communicating</b>	Written Expression	Outline and discuss essays
<b>D: Thinking Critically</b>	Civics & Social Analysis	Talk about current events

### Parent Partnership Tips

- Ask about what they're learning
- Celebrate growth & effort
- Communicate with teachers
- Parent Partnerships
- Encourage homework & practice

### Quick Notes



Formative & Summative Assessments



Final Achievement Based on MYP Criteria



Apply Knowledge in New Contexts